

2-Methyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole

 Li Long,^a Bing-Ni Liu,^{b*} Mo Liu^b and Deng-Ke Liu^b

^aSchool of Environmental and Chemical Engineering, Tianjin Polytechnic University, Tianjin 300160, People's Republic of China, and ^bTianjin Institute of Pharmaceutical Research, Tianjin, 300193, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: liudk@tjipr.com


Received 18 May 2011; accepted 23 May 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 113$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$ Å; R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.092; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.1.

In the title compound, $\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$, the molecular skeleton is almost planar with a maximum deviation of 0.0484 (9) Å for the methyl C atom. In the crystal, weak intermolecular C—H \cdots N and C—H \cdots O hydrogen bonds help to establish the packing.

Related literature

For the synthesis, see: Sorbera *et al.* (2006); Balardi *et al.* (1997). For related structures, see: Qi *et al.* (2010); Chen *et al.* (2009). For applications of indazole derivatives, see, for example: Li *et al.* (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$
 $M_r = 177.17$
 Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
 $a = 3.793$ (3) Å
 $b = 12.200$ (8) Å
 $c = 16.675$ (11) Å
 $\beta = 95.722$ (9)°

$V = 767.7$ (9) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.12$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 113$ K
 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn724 CCD diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrystalClear*; Rigaku/MS, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.956$, $T_{\max} = 0.989$
 7726 measured reflections
 1802 independent reflections
 1317 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.092$
 $S = 1.03$
 1802 reflections
 119 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.28$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.23$ e Å⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> \cdots <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H \cdots <i>A</i>
C2—H2 \cdots N2 ⁱ	0.95	2.52	3.446 (2)	164
C7—H7 \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.56	3.500 (2)	169
C8—H8A \cdots O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98	2.61	3.549 (2)	161
C8—H8B \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	2.51	3.491 (2)	174

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z + 2$; (ii) $x + 1, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 1, -y, -z + 2$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku/MS, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *CrystalStructure* (Rigaku/MS, 2005).

The authors thank Mr Hai-Bin Song of Nankai University for the X-ray crystallographic determination and for helpful suggestions.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV5096).

References

- Balardi, P. G., Cacciari, B., Spalluto, G., Romagnoli, R., Braccioli, G., Zaid, A. N. & Pineda de las Infantas, M. J. (1997). *Synthesis*, **10**, 1140–1142.
 Chen, Y., Fang, Z. & Wei, P. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o1775.
 Li, X. L., Wang, Y. B., Chen, H., Zhao, L. & Cao, K. Q. (2008). *J. Hebei Univ.* **28**, 40–45.
 Qi, H.-F., Liu, B.-N., Liu, M. & Liu, D.-K. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2955.
 Rigaku/MS (2005). *CrystalClear* and *CrystalStructure*. Rigaku/MS Inc., The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Sorbera, L. A., Bolos, J. & Serradell, N. (2006). *Drugs*, **31**, 585–589.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o1546 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811019374]

2-Methyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole

L. Long, B.-N. Liu, M. Liu and D.-K. Liu

Comment

Some derivatives of indazole are important intermediates in the synthesis of drugs (Li *et al.* 2008). Herewith we report the crystal structure of the title compound (I), which is an intermediate in the synthesis of the antitumor agent pazopanib.

In (I) (Fig. 1), the bond lengths and angles are normal and comparable with those reported for related compounds (Qi *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2009). Rings N2/N3/C7/C6/C1 and C1—C6 are almost coplanar forming a dihedral angle 1.08 (7) °. The indazole ring system is almost planar with the maximal deviation of 0.0118 (7) Å for atom N3. Nitro group is twisted at 0.93 (16)° from the plane of the attached indazole ring system.

In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular C—H···N and C—H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 1) help to establish the packing.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to Sorbera *et al.* (2006) and Balardi *et al.* (1997). 6-Nitro-1H-indazole (2 g) was dissolved in regurgitant dichloromethane (30 ml), then dimethyl sulfate (1.7 ml) and dimethyl sulfoxide (2 ml) were introduced. The mixture was heated to reflux and stayed for 12 h. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate (10 ml) and then extracted with dichloromethane (20 ml), dried with sodium sulfate and evaporated, to give yellow solid (78% yield). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a dichloromethane.

Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically, with C—H = 0.95 and 0.98 Å for indazole and methyl H, and refined as riding atoms, with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C}_{\text{methyl}})$.

Figures




Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

2-Methyl-6-nitro-2H-indazole

Crystal data

$\text{C}_8\text{H}_7\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$

$M_r = 177.17$

$F(000) = 368$

$D_x = 1.533 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

supplementary materials

Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc
 $a = 3.793$ (3) Å
 $b = 12.200$ (8) Å
 $c = 16.675$ (11) Å
 $\beta = 95.722$ (9)°
 $V = 767.7$ (9) Å³
 $Z = 4$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 2636 reflections
 $\theta = 2.1$ – 28.0 °
 $\mu = 0.12$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 113$ K
Prism, colourless
 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Saturn724 CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: rotating anode
multilayer
Detector resolution: 14.22 pixels mm⁻¹
 ω and φ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku/MSC, 2005)
 $T_{\min} = 0.956$, $T_{\max} = 0.989$
7726 measured reflections

1802 independent reflections
1317 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$
 $\theta_{\max} = 27.9$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 2.1$ °
 $h = -4 \rightarrow 4$
 $k = -15 \rightarrow 16$
 $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.092$
 $S = 1.03$
1802 reflections
119 parameters
0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct
methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring
sites
H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.05P)^2]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.28$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.23$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
O1	0.0001 (2)	0.40192 (7)	1.13521 (6)	0.0330 (3)
O2	-0.1008 (2)	0.23042 (7)	1.15673 (5)	0.0285 (2)
N1	0.0034 (2)	0.30444 (8)	1.11496 (6)	0.0204 (2)
N2	0.2860 (2)	0.04933 (8)	0.90394 (6)	0.0191 (2)
N3	0.4265 (2)	0.07413 (8)	0.83460 (6)	0.0189 (2)
C1	0.2577 (3)	0.14834 (9)	0.93942 (7)	0.0164 (3)
C2	0.1284 (3)	0.16936 (9)	1.01433 (7)	0.0172 (3)
H2	0.0426	0.1128	1.0463	0.021*
C3	0.1351 (3)	0.27717 (9)	1.03783 (7)	0.0172 (3)
C4	0.2556 (3)	0.36516 (9)	0.99200 (7)	0.0197 (3)
H4	0.2521	0.4380	1.0120	0.024*
C5	0.3767 (3)	0.34458 (9)	0.91902 (7)	0.0202 (3)
H5	0.4565	0.4026	0.8874	0.024*
C6	0.3805 (3)	0.23462 (9)	0.89161 (7)	0.0175 (3)
C7	0.4868 (3)	0.18162 (9)	0.82402 (7)	0.0197 (3)
H7	0.5827	0.2147	0.7794	0.024*
C8	0.5084 (3)	-0.01456 (9)	0.78106 (8)	0.0225 (3)
H8A	0.6589	-0.0687	0.8114	0.034*
H8B	0.6335	0.0150	0.7372	0.034*
H8C	0.2879	-0.0497	0.7586	0.034*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
O1	0.0491 (6)	0.0212 (5)	0.0301 (5)	0.0001 (4)	0.0114 (4)	-0.0077 (4)
O2	0.0399 (5)	0.0249 (5)	0.0227 (5)	-0.0030 (4)	0.0126 (4)	0.0002 (4)
N1	0.0211 (5)	0.0207 (5)	0.0195 (5)	0.0007 (4)	0.0026 (4)	-0.0017 (4)
N2	0.0233 (5)	0.0198 (5)	0.0149 (5)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0058 (4)	0.0000 (4)
N3	0.0200 (5)	0.0216 (5)	0.0155 (5)	0.0003 (4)	0.0040 (4)	0.0004 (4)
C1	0.0147 (5)	0.0172 (5)	0.0171 (6)	0.0000 (4)	0.0004 (4)	0.0013 (4)
C2	0.0182 (6)	0.0172 (5)	0.0163 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0021 (5)	0.0013 (4)
C3	0.0155 (6)	0.0203 (6)	0.0158 (6)	0.0016 (4)	0.0018 (5)	-0.0004 (5)
C4	0.0194 (6)	0.0161 (6)	0.0235 (6)	-0.0009 (4)	0.0010 (5)	0.0008 (5)
C5	0.0194 (6)	0.0189 (6)	0.0222 (6)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0026 (5)	0.0046 (5)
C6	0.0151 (6)	0.0208 (6)	0.0163 (6)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0008 (4)	0.0027 (5)
C7	0.0193 (6)	0.0218 (6)	0.0185 (6)	-0.0013 (5)	0.0036 (5)	0.0033 (5)
C8	0.0262 (6)	0.0234 (6)	0.0190 (6)	0.0016 (5)	0.0073 (5)	-0.0029 (5)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

O1—N1	1.2367 (14)	C3—C4	1.4194 (17)
O2—N1	1.2294 (14)	C4—C5	1.3662 (19)
N1—C3	1.4639 (17)	C4—H4	0.9500
N2—C1	1.3539 (16)	C5—C6	1.4178 (17)
N2—N3	1.3547 (15)	C5—H5	0.9500

supplementary materials

N3—C7	1.3459 (17)	C6—C7	1.3934 (18)
N3—C8	1.4559 (16)	C7—H7	0.9500
C1—C2	1.4102 (18)	C8—H8A	0.9800
C1—C6	1.4265 (17)	C8—H8B	0.9800
C2—C3	1.3719 (17)	C8—H8C	0.9800
C2—H2	0.9500		
O2—N1—O1	122.63 (11)	C5—C4—H4	120.2
O2—N1—C3	119.20 (10)	C3—C4—H4	120.2
O1—N1—C3	118.17 (10)	C4—C5—C6	118.44 (11)
C1—N2—N3	103.23 (10)	C4—C5—H5	120.8
C7—N3—N2	114.58 (10)	C6—C5—H5	120.8
C7—N3—C8	126.47 (11)	C7—C6—C5	135.47 (11)
N2—N3—C8	118.91 (10)	C7—C6—C1	104.27 (11)
N2—C1—C2	126.80 (10)	C5—C6—C1	120.25 (11)
N2—C1—C6	111.69 (11)	N3—C7—C6	106.23 (11)
C2—C1—C6	121.50 (11)	N3—C7—H7	126.9
C3—C2—C1	115.41 (10)	C6—C7—H7	126.9
C3—C2—H2	122.3	N3—C8—H8A	109.5
C1—C2—H2	122.3	N3—C8—H8B	109.5
C2—C3—C4	124.70 (12)	H8A—C8—H8B	109.5
C2—C3—N1	118.07 (10)	N3—C8—H8C	109.5
C4—C3—N1	117.22 (10)	H8A—C8—H8C	109.5
C5—C4—C3	119.69 (11)	H8B—C8—H8C	109.5
C1—N2—N3—C7	-0.23 (12)	N1—C3—C4—C5	-179.08 (10)
C1—N2—N3—C8	177.69 (9)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.52 (16)
N3—N2—C1—C2	-179.34 (10)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-178.43 (12)
N3—N2—C1—C6	0.13 (12)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.46 (16)
N2—C1—C2—C3	178.46 (10)	N2—C1—C6—C7	0.01 (12)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.96 (15)	C2—C1—C6—C7	179.51 (10)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.92 (16)	N2—C1—C6—C5	-179.19 (9)
C1—C2—C3—N1	179.81 (9)	C2—C1—C6—C5	0.31 (16)
O2—N1—C3—C2	1.59 (15)	N2—N3—C7—C6	0.24 (12)
O1—N1—C3—C2	-178.04 (10)	C8—N3—C7—C6	-177.50 (10)
O2—N1—C3—C4	-179.44 (9)	C5—C6—C7—N3	178.87 (12)
O1—N1—C3—C4	0.93 (14)	C1—C6—C7—N3	-0.14 (11)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.19 (17)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C2—H2 \cdots N2 ⁱ	0.95	2.52	3.446 (2)	164
C7—H7 \cdots O2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.56	3.500 (2)	169
C8—H8A \cdots O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98	2.61	3.549 (2)	161
C8—H8B \cdots O1 ⁱⁱ	0.98	2.51	3.491 (2)	174

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z+2$; (ii) $x+1, -y+1/2, z-1/2$; (iii) $-x+1, -y, -z+2$.

Fig. 1

